

Lecture 4.

Supersymmetry

Felix Yu

November 15, 2019.

(p.1)

From last time, we saw the first interactions between superfields.

Chiral superfields interact via superpotential W , which is a ^{mass}dim. 3 polynomial in superfields.

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^2\theta W(\Phi_i) + \text{h.c.}$$

For renormalizability, should have at most three powers of chiral superfield. (Remark: chiral superfields have mass dimension 1.)

Besides chiral superfield interactions, we will also want to study gauge interactions.

Shifman
49.8

Simplest construction is to promote gauge transformations to chiral superfields:

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \phi(x), \quad \bar{\phi}(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\phi}(x),$$

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \alpha(x)$$

For $\phi(x) \in \Phi(x_L, \theta)$, then $\alpha(x) \rightarrow \Lambda_L(x_L, \theta)$

but then $\bar{\phi}(x) \in \bar{\Phi}(x_R, \bar{\theta})$ and $-\alpha(x) \rightarrow -\bar{\Lambda}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta})$

We then get

$$\Phi_L(x_L, \theta) \rightarrow e^{i\Lambda_L(x_L, \theta)} \Phi_L(x_L, \theta)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta}) \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta})} \bar{\Phi}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta})$$

$$(*) \text{ and } V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) \rightarrow V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) - i [\Lambda_L(x_L, \theta) - \bar{\Lambda}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta})]$$

Gauge invariant term is then

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \bar{\Phi}_R e^V \Phi_L$$

(p. 2)

By a parametrization choice of $\Lambda_L(x_L, \theta), \bar{\Lambda}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta})$,
we modify the components of $V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ under the gauge transformation in $\star$.

In particular, for $\Lambda_L(x_L, \theta) = \varphi + \sqrt{2}\theta\eta + \theta^2 F$,
then $C \rightarrow C - i(\varphi - \bar{\varphi})$, $X \rightarrow X - \sqrt{2}\eta$, $M \rightarrow M - \sqrt{2}F$,
 $v_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \rightarrow v_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(\varphi + \bar{\varphi})$, $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda$, $D \rightarrow D$.

Choosing $C - i(\varphi - \bar{\varphi}) = 0$ and also the $\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2$ component
of $V = \bar{\theta}^2\theta^2(D - \frac{1}{4}\partial^2 C) \rightarrow \theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D$.

Can eliminate C, X, M components $\Rightarrow$ Wess-Zumino gauge.

Then $V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = -2\theta^\alpha\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 2i\bar{\theta}^2(\theta\lambda) + 2i\theta^2(\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda})$
 $+ \theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D$.

Recall V is real, $V = V^\dagger$.

For non-Abelian vector superfields, generalize Λ to
a matrix-valued transformation, then V becomes matrix-valued,
and then the corresponding group structure is carried by
the commutation rules carried by the matrices
(in other words, by the Lie algebra).

Hence $V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) \equiv V^a T^a$

$\Lambda_L(x_L, \theta) = \Lambda_L^a T^a$, $\bar{\Lambda}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta}) = \bar{\Lambda}_R^a T^a$

for T^a as the usual Hermitian generators of the group.

We now need the field strength tensor.

This is defined by

$$W_\alpha = \frac{1}{8} \bar{D}^2 D_\alpha V = i(\lambda_\alpha + i\theta_\alpha D - \theta^\beta F_{\alpha\beta} - i\theta^2 \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}})$$

for $U(1)$ field strengths.

$$F_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} (\sigma^\nu)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}$$

Note that W_α is chiral, since
 $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} W_\alpha = 0$.

(p.3)

Motivation for this definition:
 Recall

$$\{D_\alpha, D_\beta\} = \{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0$$

$$\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2i \delta_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$$

So action of two D_α is a normal vector derivative.
 The Lagrangian term for the field strength is then

$$\frac{1}{4e^2} \int d^2\theta W^2 + \text{h.c.}$$

with e the (U) gauge coupling and $W^2 = W^\alpha W_\alpha$.

Calculating W^2 , we get

$$W^2(x_L, \theta) = -\lambda^2 - 2i(\lambda\theta)D + 2\lambda^\alpha F_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta$$

$$+ \theta^2(D^2 - \frac{1}{2}F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}) + 2i\theta^2\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\lambda_\alpha$$

So the $\int d^2\theta$ will extract the expected $F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}$.

Note W has mass dimension $3/2$.

For non-Abelian, we make V, W_α^a as matrix-valued

Shifman
p. 973

$$V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = V^a T^a$$

$$W_\alpha = \frac{1}{g} \bar{D}^2 e^{-V} (D_\alpha e^V)$$

$$W^2(x_L, \theta) = -\lambda^2 - 2i(\lambda\theta)D + 2\lambda^\alpha G_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta$$

$$+ \theta^2(D^2 - \frac{1}{2}G^{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta}) + 2i\theta^2\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\lambda_\alpha$$

where the usual non-Abelian group structure is
 encoded by the Lie algebra governing the T^a matrices:

$$[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc}T^c$$

The gauge transformations from before also become

matrix-valued. $\Lambda_L(x_L, \theta) \equiv \Lambda_L^a T^a, \bar{\Lambda}_R(x_R, \bar{\theta}) = \bar{\Lambda}_R^a T^a$

(p.4)

Holomorphic couplings:

In both the superpotential Lagrangian and the fieldstrength tensor, we construct the Lagrangian by integrating over the ^{on the} superfields chiral subspace spanned by (x_L, θ) or $(x_R, \bar{\theta})$;

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \left(\int d^2\theta W(\bar{\Phi}_i) + \frac{1}{4e^2} W^\alpha W_\alpha \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

where $W(\bar{\Phi}_i) = \frac{m}{2} \bar{\Phi}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3} \bar{\Phi}^3$ for the Wess-Zumino model

The coupling constants are complex parameters, but they never show up as e.g. $|m|^2$, but always as analytic fns. of couplings $\equiv$ holomorphic.

Recall from complex analysis: $z = a + ib$,

z^2 is analytic ($\mathbb{C}$ differentiable)

while $|z|^2 = z z^*$ is not analytic everywhere.

We can prove holomorphy in couplings by a ~~spurious~~ spurion analysis, where $m \rightarrow M(x_L, \theta) = m + \dots$ is promoted to a chiral superfield. Then the chiral subspace extends for ~~the~~ ^{the entire} integrand, and we will never have M^* appearing in the superpotential.

Aside: the ~~holomorphic~~ holomorphic gauge coupling has a real piece corresponding to the usual gauge coupling + the ~~imaginary~~ imaginary piece is the θ -angle.

$$\frac{1}{e^2} \equiv \frac{1}{\tilde{e}^2} - i \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2} \quad [\text{arb. norm.}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset & -\frac{1}{4e^2} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\theta}{32\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{1}{e^2} \bar{\lambda}_2 i \not{D} \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2e^2} D^2 \end{aligned}$$

Introducing R-symmetry:

Completely global $U(1)$ symmetry generators ~~a~~ should ~~not~~ commute with all generators of super-Poincaré algebra. But there is a subcategory of $U(1)$ symmetry generators that do not commute with $Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$:

$$[R, Q_\alpha] = -Q_\alpha, \quad [R, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}] = +\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

This is an extra $U(1)$ symmetry called $U(1)_R$, which basically charges the superspace coordinates θ & $\bar{\theta}$ as $+1$ and -1 , respectively. We conventionally give the same R-charge for ~~the~~ superfield Φ and its lowest component ϕ . Since the Lagrangian is obtained by $(\int d^2\theta W + \text{h.c.})$, the superpotential W carries R-charge $+2$ (since $d\theta$ has R-charge -1).

Note: R-symmetry is not equivalent to R-parity, but R-parity will generally arise from R-symmetry.

Note 2: Any global $U(1)$ combined with $U(1)_R$ is another R-symmetry.

Non-renormalization of the superpotential:

The combination of holomorphy and R-symmetry is enough to prove the non-renormalization of the superpotential. More precisely, new superpotential terms are not generated that violate holomorphy or R-symmetry.

(p.6)

As an example,

$$W_{\text{Wess-Zumino}} = \frac{m}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3} \Phi^3$$

For R-symmetry of W to be 2, we need $R(\Phi) = \frac{2}{3}$.

and $R(\lambda) = 0$. Then $R(m) = \frac{2}{3}$.

We also have a separate ^{global} $U(1)$ symmetry with

$$Q(\Phi) = 1, \quad Q(m) = -2, \quad Q(\lambda) = -3.$$

As is usual in spurion analysis, we promote couplings to vevs of background fields. The ^{spurion} symmetry structure dictates the renormalization group flow.

Terning,
p. 139
Shifman,
p. 448

Now, we build the ^{most general} superpotential from

$$W_{\text{eff}} = m \Phi^2 f\left(\frac{\lambda \Phi}{m}\right) \quad \text{for } f\left(\frac{\lambda \Phi}{m}\right) \text{ as power series.}$$

$$\text{Note } R\left(\frac{\lambda \Phi}{m}\right) = 0 \quad \& \quad Q\left(\frac{\lambda \Phi}{m}\right) = 0.$$

$$W_{\text{eff}} = c_n \lambda^n \Phi^{n+2} m^{1-n}$$

To smoothly reach the massless limit, $m \rightarrow 0$, $n \leq 1$ and for the weak coupling limit, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $n \geq 0$, and we get

$$W_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3} \Phi^3 = W_{\text{tree}}.$$

There is renormalization in the kinetic term = wavefunction renormalization.

$$\int d^4\theta \, \bar{\Phi} \Phi \rightarrow \int d^4\theta \, Z \, \bar{\Phi} \Phi$$

$$+ \quad m_r = \frac{m}{Z}, \quad \lambda_r = \frac{\lambda}{Z^{3/2}}$$

Note $\frac{m_r^3}{\lambda_r^2} = \frac{m^3}{\lambda^2}$ has no Z dependence & is not renormalized.

(Corresponds to domain wall tension.)