

SUSY

p.1

Lecture 10

Felix Yu

January 24, 2020

(No lecture on Jan. 17)

From last time, discussed vacuum structure + moduli;
~~Review~~ super-Higgs mechanism.

Today, start study of super-Yang Mills and
super-QCD.

The $SU(N)$ super-Yang Mills action is the supersymmetric
version of $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4g^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu}$, $G_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$

Recall that we embedded vector fields into vector superfields V
(from super QED), $V = V^\dagger$. Moreover, in Wess-Zumino gauge, we
were able to eliminate components of the vector superfield
via supergauge transformations, leaving normal gauge transformation
freedom. The explicit form of $V(x^\mu, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ is then

$$V^a = -2\theta^\alpha \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^a - 2i\bar{\theta}^2 (\theta\lambda^a) + 2i\theta^2 (\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}^a) \\ + \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 D^a,$$

and $V \equiv V^a T^a$ (make V matrix-valued).

Under supergauge transformation,

$$e^V \rightarrow e^{i\bar{\Lambda}(x_R, \bar{\theta})} e^V e^{-i\Lambda(x_L, \theta)}$$

and any matter superfields

$$Q(x_L, \theta) \rightarrow e^{i\Lambda(x_L, \theta)} Q, \quad \Lambda \equiv \Lambda^a T^a \\ \bar{Q}(x_R, \bar{\theta}) \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}(x_R, \bar{\theta})} \bar{Q}, \quad \bar{\Lambda} \equiv \bar{\Lambda}^a T^a$$

Note that V^3 + higher powers of V vanishes,
so it is easy to expand e^V .

(p.2)

Before, for QED, we constructed the photon field strength tensor from

$$W_\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{8} \bar{D}^2 D_\alpha V = i(\lambda_\alpha + i\theta_\alpha D - \theta^\beta F_{\alpha\beta} - i\theta^2 \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}})$$

where

$D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ were covariant superderivatives.

Here, to account for the non-Abelian nature, we need a gauge connection

$$\begin{aligned} W_\alpha &= \frac{1}{8} \bar{D}^2 e^V (D_\alpha e^V) \\ &= i(\lambda_\alpha + i\theta_\alpha D - \theta^\beta G_{\alpha\beta} - i\theta^2 \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{gaugecovariant deriv.}}}{D_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} W^2(x, \theta) &= -\lambda^2 - 2i(\lambda\theta)D + 2\lambda^\alpha G_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta \\ &\quad + \theta^2(D^2 - \frac{1}{2}G^{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta}) + 2i\theta^2 \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} D^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \lambda_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

If we include matter in rep. R ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \left(\frac{1}{4g^2} \int d^2\theta W^{a\alpha} W_\alpha^a + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_f \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{Q}^f e^V Q_f \\ &\quad + \left(\int d^2\theta W(Q_f) + \text{h.c.} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Superpotential should be all gauge invariant terms.

$$\bar{F}_f = \frac{\partial W}{\partial Q_f} \Big|_{Q_f = \tilde{q}_f}$$

$$D^a = -g^2 \sum_f \tilde{q}_f^{\dagger} T^a \tilde{q}_f$$

$$V = \frac{1}{2g^2} D^a D^a + \sum_f |F_f|^2$$

Terning
8.4

p.3

The gauge coupling can be complexified and considered as a spurion superfield.

Write $\tau \equiv \frac{\theta_{YM}}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g^2}$, holomorphic gauge coupling

then we can write the superpotential

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{16\pi i} \int d^4x \int d^2\theta \tau W_a^\alpha W_\alpha^a + h.c. \\ &= \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4g^2} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{\theta_{YM}}{32\pi^2} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{i}{g^2} \lambda^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} D_{\mu} \lambda^a \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2g^2} D^a D^a \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can "control" the effect of renormalization of the gauge coupling g by understanding the constraints of holomorphy + superpotential nonrenormalization.

First, we go to a canonically normalized basis:

$$(A_\mu^a, \lambda^a, D^a) \rightarrow g (A_\mu^a, \lambda^a, D^a)$$

In $N=1$ SUSY and $SU(N)$ theory with F flavors, the RG equation of g at 1-loop is

$$\mu \frac{dg}{d\mu} = \frac{-b}{16\pi^2} g, \quad b = 3N - F.$$

Solving for g ,
$$\frac{1}{g^2(\mu)} = \frac{-b}{8\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{|\Lambda|}{\mu}\right),$$

where $|\Lambda|$ arises via dimensional transmutation

Correspondingly,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{1-loop} &= \frac{\theta_{YM}}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g^2(\mu)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln\left(\left(\frac{|\Lambda|}{\mu}\right)^b e^{i\theta_{YM}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

If we define a holomorphic ~~is~~ intrinsic scale

$$\Lambda \equiv |\Lambda| e^{i\theta_{YM}/b} = \mu e^{\frac{2\pi i \tau}{b}}$$

$$\text{Then } \tau_{1\text{-loop}} = \frac{b}{2\pi i} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right)$$

This is one example of ^a perturbation result in SUSY calculations.

We can go further by studying non-perturbative effects from $\mathcal{O}_{YM}$.

Recall $\mathcal{O}_{YM} F^a \tilde{F}^a$ violates CP,

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a = 4 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu \text{Tr} \left[A_\nu \partial_\rho A_\sigma + \frac{2}{3} A_\nu A_\rho A_\sigma \right]$$

Since $F^a \tilde{F}^a$ is a total derivative, and we have gauge field configuration with non-trivial winding numbers (instanton configurations), the integral over $\int d^4x$ gives the winding number

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{YM}}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^a = n \mathcal{O}_{YM}.$$

In the path integral,

$$\int \mathcal{D}A^a \mathcal{D}\lambda^a \mathcal{D}\phi^a e^{iS},$$

the action S depends on $\mathcal{O}_{YM}$ only via $n \mathcal{O}_{YM}$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $\mathcal{O}_{YM} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{YM} + 2\pi$ is an exact symmetry of the theory, even non-perturbatively, since it has no effect on the path integral.

Recall that the ^{finite} action of an instanton field configuration is

$$S_{\text{inst.}} = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2(\mu)}.$$

We have the effective superpotential

$$W_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\tau(\Lambda, \mu)}{16\pi i} W_\alpha^a W_\alpha^a$$

Because $\mathcal{O}_{YM} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{YM} + 2\pi$ is a symmetry,

$\Lambda \rightarrow e^{2\pi i/b} \Lambda$ is also a symmetry.

$$\text{From } \Lambda = \mu e^{2\pi i \tau/b} \rightarrow \mu e^{2\pi i \tau/b + 2\pi i/b} = \Lambda e^{2\pi i/b}$$

Allowing for non-perturbative effects, we can parametrize p. 5

$$\tau(\Lambda, \mu) = \frac{b}{2\pi i} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) + f(\Lambda, \mu)$$

where f is a holomorphic fun. of Λ . Since $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to weak coupling where we must recover the perturbative result, f has a Taylor series expansion in pos. powers of Λ . Now, requiring invariance under $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda e^{2\pi i/b}$, f is invariant separately (perturbative term shifts Θ_{YM} by 2π , as required). So we must have a Taylor series in positive powers of Λ^b .

Generic form

$$\tau(\Lambda, \mu) = \frac{b}{2\pi i} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right)^{bn}$$

No running beyond one-loop for holomorphic coupling.

Note: holomorphic coupling non-renormalization beyond

1-loop is true when fields are not canonically normalized.

Rescaling fields by $A^\mu \rightarrow g A^\mu$ introduces rescaling anomaly from wavefun. renormalization. [Terning, Sec. 8.6].

Gaugino condensation:

$SU(N)$ theory, $F=0$, only fermion is gaugino.

The $U(1)_R$ symmetry is anomalous (gauginos have R -charge 1) from triangle diagram of $U(1)_R \times SU(N)^2$.

The chiral rotation $\lambda^a \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \lambda^a$ is equivalent to

shift in $F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu}$, $\Theta_{YM} \rightarrow \Theta_{YM} - 2N\alpha$,

where $2N$ comes from λ^a in adjoint rep. of gauge

group & has $2N$ zero modes in a one-instanton background.

p.6

Then chiral rotation is only a symmetry
 for $\alpha = \frac{k\pi}{N}$, and so $U(1)_R$ sym. is explicitly
 broken to discrete $\mathbb{Z}_N$ subgroup. Promoting
 τ to a chiral superfield spurion & under transformations
 $\lambda^a \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \lambda^a$, $\tau \rightarrow \tau + \frac{N\alpha}{\pi}$, path integral
 is invariant.

From this restriction, effective super-potential
 must be

$$W_{\text{eff}} = a\mu^3 e^{2\pi i \tau / N},$$

because it preserves $W_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow e^{2i\alpha} W_{\text{eff}}$ under $U(1)_R$.

We have to assume no massless particles = assume a
 mass gap exists. The F-term of τ (as a spurion)
 acts as a source for $\lambda^a \lambda^a$, which is a gaugino condensate.

$$\langle \lambda^a \lambda^a \rangle = 16\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} W_{\text{eff}} = 16\pi i \frac{2\pi i}{N} a\mu^3 e^{2\pi i \tau / N},$$

and neglecting the non-perturbative corrections to τ (which
 only give a phase), using $b = 3N$,

$$\langle \lambda^a \lambda^a \rangle = \frac{-32\pi^2}{N} a \Lambda^3$$

Gaugino condensate implies that the discrete $\mathbb{Z}_N$
 symmetry is spontaneously broken to N degenerate but
 distinct vacua. $\langle \lambda^a \lambda^a \rangle \rightarrow e^{2i\alpha} \langle \lambda^a \lambda^a \rangle$, only
 invariant for $\alpha = \frac{k\pi}{N}$ for $k=0$ or N .

Next time: Add quarks & see how theory
 deforms.