

## 08.128.742 Quantum Field Theory III

### The Standard Model and Electroweak Theory

#### Homework set 4

Due January 14, 2021; e-mail (photo or scan) to [yu001@uni-mainz](mailto:yu001@uni-mainz) by start of discussion session

Please note how long it took you to solve each problem

- 4-1, 20 pts. Design a collider analysis to measure the  $W$  boson mass in the scattering process  $pp \rightarrow W^\pm X$ ,  $W^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm \nu$ , where  $\ell = e$  or  $\mu$ . What collider observable can you construct and how do you extract the  $W$  boson mass? Compare with the published ATLAS analysis (led by Prof. Matthias Schott of JGU Mainz), [arXiv:1701.07240](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.07240). Why does the collider observable in the  $\ell^\pm \nu$  final state have better mass resolution than the simple dijet invariant mass for the  $W \rightarrow jj$  final state?
- 4-2, 80 pts. Consider Higgs boson production at the LHC. We will focus on understanding complications about extracting Higgs couplings at  $pp$  colliders.
- A, 10 pts. Draw and list the five most dominant production modes at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV and their cross sections.
- B, 20 pts. The  $\kappa$ -framework is a straightforward modification of Higgs couplings to test experimentally for non-SM scattering rates of the 125 GeV Higgs boson. In this framework, all tree-level couplings of the Higgs boson are multiplied by their own  $\kappa_i$ , while the two leading loop-induced couplings of  $hgg$  and  $h\gamma\gamma$  are also multiplied by  $\kappa_g$  and  $\kappa_\gamma$ , respectively. The SM case requires all  $\kappa_i = 1$ , while an experimental result favoring  $\kappa_i \neq 1$  would indicate the presence of new physics. Write the Feynman rules for  $hgg$ ,  $h\gamma\gamma$ ,  $hW^+W^-$ ,  $hZZ$ , and  $hbb$  in the  $\kappa$  framework.
- C, 20 pts. Determine the cross section dependence on  $\kappa_i$  for  $gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  in the  $\kappa$ -framework. Given a measurement of this event rate, can you individually determine the two corresponding  $\kappa$  parameters? What happens when you include a measured cross section for  $gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow ZZ^*$ ? Does the situation improve when you add more decay modes or more production modes?
- D, 20 pts. Identify the (non-unique) set of necessary assumptions in order to extract individual  $\kappa$  couplings from LHC data. *Hint:* Consider the role of the Higgs width. In general, the Higgs could decay to non-SM final states, which would be an additional contribution to the total Higgs width,  $\Gamma_{\text{BSM}}$ .
- E, 10 pts. Match the  $\kappa_b$  coupling modifier to the coefficient of a dimension-6 operator (from HW 2-2). In general, the  $\kappa$ -framework is a restricted subset of dimension-6 effective operators that affect Higgs physics.

F, Bonus, 20 pts. At an  $e^+e^-$  collider, the additional kinematic certainty from the initial state allows an *inclusive* measurement of Higgs production in the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$  channel. What observable gives this inclusive rate? How does having an inclusive rate for Higgs production now allow the  $\kappa$ -framework system of equations to close? *Hint:* Consider the system of equations from the inclusive rate measurement and the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ ,  $h \rightarrow ZZ^*$  decay. This technique is known as the “recoil-mass” measurement and is a primary motivation for an  $e^+e^-$  Higgs factory.