

①

Lecture 16.

QFT II.

Felix Yu

July 1, 2020.

Last time: Goldstone's theorem + SSB in EW theory.

Today: Higgs mechanism in SM

How does Higgs break EW symmetry + give mass to W^{+-} + Z ?

$$H \sim (1, 2, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} \supset |D_\mu H|^2 - \mu^2 |H|^2 - \lambda |H|^4, \quad \mu^2 < 0$$

$$D_\mu H = \partial_\mu H - ig \tau^i W_\mu^i H - ig' B_\mu H$$

For $\langle H \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ by global $SU(2)$ rotation,

$$\tau^i = \frac{\sigma^i}{2}, \quad v^2 = -\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}$$

$$D_\mu H \supset -ig \frac{\tau^i}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} - \frac{ig'}{2\sqrt{2}} B_\mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Use } \tau^i W_\mu^i &= \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^1 W_\mu^1 + \sigma^2 W_\mu^2 + \sigma^3 W_\mu^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} W_\mu^3 & W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2 \\ W_\mu^1 + i W_\mu^2 & -W_\mu^3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Get } D_\mu H \supset \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (-ig) (W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2) v \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (-ig) (-W_\mu^3) v - \frac{ig'}{2\sqrt{2}} B_\mu v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } |D_\mu H|^2 \Big|_{v^2} &= \frac{1}{8} (g^2 v^2 (W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2) (W_\mu^1 + i W_\mu^2)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} v^2 (-g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Define } W_\mu^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2), \quad W_\mu^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^1 + i W_\mu^2)$$

[in analogy with raising + lowering operators for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ in QM]

(2)

Also, identify mass eigenstates:

$$Z_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (-g W_\mu^3 + g' B_\mu)$$

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (g' W_\mu^3 + g B_\mu)$$

Normalizations ensure kinetic terms of fields are canonically normalized.

We get $|\partial_\mu H|^2|_{v^2} = \frac{1}{4} g^2 v^2 W^+ W^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2)}{8} v^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu$

giving $m_W^2 = \frac{g^2 v^2}{4}$, $m_Z^2 = \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{4}$
 (complex vector) (real vector)

for arbitrary $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig W_\mu^i T^i - ig' Y B_\mu$, we can find the interactions with the $W^{\pm}$, Z , A mass eigenstates as:

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^+ T^+ + W_\mu^- T^-) - \frac{ig' Y}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} Z_\mu - \frac{ig g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu (T^3 + Y)$$

$T^\pm = T^1 \pm iT^2$
 $= \sigma^\pm$

So coupling to $W^{\pm}$: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}$

$$Z: \frac{g^2 T^3 - g'^2 Y}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$$

$$A: (T^3 + Y) \frac{g g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \equiv Q e \text{ for}$$

$$Q \equiv T^3 + Y + e \equiv \frac{g g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}, \text{ QED coupling.}$$

Define $c_w = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$, $s_w = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$, $g = \frac{e}{s_w}$

(weak mixing angle).

$$\Rightarrow D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^+ T^+ + W_\mu^- T^-) - \frac{ig}{c_w} Z_\mu (T^3 - s_w^2 Q) - ie A_\mu Q$$

(3)

Higgs vev also gives masses for quarks and ~~the~~ charged leptons.

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_u \bar{Q}_L \hat{H} u_R - y_d \bar{Q}_L \hat{H} d_R - y_e \bar{L}_L \hat{H} e_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Each Yukawa matrix is 3×3 in flavor space.

$SU(2)$ indices contract on $\bar{Q}_L \hat{H}$, $\bar{Q}_L H$, $\bar{L}_L H$, respectively.

$$i\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } \tilde{H} \equiv i\sigma_2 H^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v+h^0 - iG^- \\ -G^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Get } \mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{y_u^{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} (v+h^0) \bar{u}_{Li} u_{Rj} - \frac{y_d^{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} (v+h^0) \bar{d}_{Li} d_{Rj} \\ - \frac{y_e^{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} (v+h^0) \bar{e}_{Li} e_{Rj} + \text{h.c.}$$

(can demonstrate that $U(3) = U(1) \times SU(3)$ ^{global} symmetries can be used to rotate to mass eigenstate basis + diagonalize y_u, y_d, y_e .)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{u}_L u_L^\dagger u_{uL} y_u u_{uR}^\dagger u_{uR} u_R \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v+h) \\ + \bar{d}_L d_L^\dagger u_{dL} y_d u_{dR}^\dagger u_{dR} d_R \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v+h) \\ + \bar{e}_L e_L^\dagger u_{eL} y_e u_{eR}^\dagger u_{eR} e_R \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v+h) + \text{h.c.}$$

Choose U 's s.t. $u_{uL} y_u u_{uR}^\dagger = y_u^{\text{diag}}$, etc.

Then, $u_{uR} u_R = u_R^{\text{mass}}$, $u_{uL} u_L = u_L^{\text{mass}}$

Then, we get

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{u}_L^{\text{mass}} y_u^{\text{diag}} u_R^{\text{mass}} \frac{(v+h)}{\sqrt{2}} + \text{h.c.} + \dots$$

$$= \bar{u}_L^{\text{mass}} \left(\frac{v+h}{\sqrt{2}} \right) y_u^{\text{diag}} + (\text{down-type + charged leptons})$$

So $y_u^{\text{diag}} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} = m_u$ mass terms, all real.

Performing the same rotation to mass eigenstate basis in the kinetic terms for the fermions keeps the $\cancel{Z} + \cancel{\gamma}$ terms unchanged:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{u}_L u_L^\dagger u_L (\cancel{Z} + \cancel{\gamma}) \cancel{Z} \gamma^\mu u_L^\dagger u_L u_L \\ &= \bar{u}_L^{\text{mass}} u_L (\cancel{Z} + \cancel{\gamma}) \cancel{Z} u_L^\dagger u_L^{\text{mass}} \\ &= \bar{u}_L^{\text{mass}} (\cancel{Z} + \cancel{\gamma}) u_L^{\text{mass}} \end{aligned}$$

The W^{+-} interactions are not diagonal, however in the quark mass basis.

$$Q_L i \cancel{\not{D}} Q_L \supset (\bar{u}_L \bar{d}_L) i \left(\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ \cancel{\not{L}} \gamma^\mu + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- \sigma^- \gamma^\mu \right) \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{u}_L u_L^\dagger u_L W_\mu^+ \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^\mu u_L^\dagger u_L d_L$$

$$= \bar{u}_L^{\text{mass}} u_L u_L^\dagger W_\mu^+ \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} d_L^{\text{mass}}$$

$$\text{and } \bar{d}_L^{\text{mass}} (u_L u_L^\dagger) W_\mu^- \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^\mu u_L^{\text{mass}}$$

The particular combination $(u_L u_L^\dagger)$ signifies the mismatch between gauge + mass eigenstates for quarks in charged current interactions $\Rightarrow V_{CKM} = u_L u_L^\dagger$

Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa

3 mixing angles, 1 $\cancel{\phi}$ phase

$$= \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since charged currents are not diagonal,

all flavor violation in SM is proportional to V_{CKM} .

On the other hand, $\cancel{Z} + \text{Higgs}$ interactions are flavor-conserving at tree-level.

"No tree-level FCNCs"

For neutrinos, have an ~~an~~ analogous situation since neutrinos are massive $\Rightarrow$ PMNS matrix

Pontecorvo-Maki-Nagawa-Sakata

Just like quarks, PMNS matrix gives mismatch between mass + gauge eigenstates for neutrinos in charged current interactions

For reference, V_{CKM} is nearly identity, while V_{PMNS} is more equal/mixed.

PDG $V_{CKM} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0.97446 \pm 10^{-4} & 0.22452 \pm 4.4 \cdot 10^{-4} & 0.00365 \pm 1.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \\ 0.22438 \pm 4.4 \cdot 10^{-4} & 0.97359 \pm 1.1 \cdot 10^{-4} & 0.04214 \pm 7.6 \cdot 10^{-4} \\ 0.00896 \pm 2.4 \cdot 10^{-4} & 0.04133 \pm 7.4 \cdot 10^{-4} & 0.999105 \pm 3.2 \cdot 10^{-5} \end{pmatrix}$

Offshoot topics: (Many covered in QFT3)

Dark matter

Neutrino masses + mixing

Baryogenesis / Leptogenesis

S, T, U - Oblique corrections + EW precision

Top physics

Bottom physics

Tau physics

Higgs physics

Extensions of SM gauge symmetry

Flavor physics + EFTs, EW Hamiltonian

Gauge hierarchy problem.