

①

Lecture 7.

Quantum Field Theory I.

Felix Yu

May 3, 2021.

Last time: Fermions - Weyl fermions + 2-component spinors

Today: Dirac fermions and 4-component spinors.

At the end of the last lecture, we saw that

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu], \text{ where } \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}_{n \times n},$$

furnished a representation of the Lorentz group algebra, with γ^μ being an $n \times n$ matrix. (We need 4 such ~~matrices~~ matrices that anti-commute to be $2g^{\mu\nu}$.)

Clarifying note: need 4 matrices since Lorentz index in γ^μ runs from 0 to 3. But the $n \times n$ dimension of the matrix is for a-dimensional representations, which is unrelated to the dimensions of spacetime.

What is the explicit form of these γ^μ matrices?

We cannot simply use 2-dim. reps, because we need a 4th Pauli matrix that anticommutes with the other 3.

We thus take the convention known as the Weyl or chiral representation, with

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and each element is itself a 2×2 block.

(2)

What do the Lorentz generators look like with this representation? And ~~what~~ what objects do these γ^μ matrices act on?

We have $S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$, so we can check that

boost generators $\nearrow S^{0i} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^0, \gamma^i] = \frac{i}{4} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$

$$= \frac{i}{4} \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix}$$

rotation generators $\nearrow$ and $S^{ij} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = \frac{i}{4} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^j \\ -\sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$

$$= \frac{i}{4} \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i \sigma^j & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \sigma^j \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^j \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^j \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2i\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & 2i\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix}$$

The 4-component state that these 4×4 matrices act on is known as a Dirac spinor. We can immediately identify that the Dirac spinor is nothing more than two 2-component spinors, $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_L \\ \Psi_R \end{pmatrix}$, where Ψ_L and

Ψ_R are 2-component Weyl spinors from before.

To see this, we recall that Lorentz transformations are built by exponentiation of generators with arbitrary parameters. Here, we have

$\Lambda_{1/2} = \exp \left(-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} \right)$, where $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ are the free parameters that will drop out of any Lorentz infinitesimal invariant transformation. $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ is an antisymmetric tensor that gives the angles.

(3)

For example, if we perform a Lorentz transformation on a vector, we use the vector representation of the Lorentz generators that act on 4-vectors,

$$(J^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = i(\delta^\mu_\alpha \delta^\nu_\beta - \delta^\mu_\beta \delta^\nu_\alpha).$$

Then, a 4-vector $x^\rho \rightarrow \exp(-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu})^\rho_\sigma x^\sigma$, where $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ are the boost + rotation angles (antisymmetric tensor params.) + $\rho + \sigma$ on the RHS denote the entries of the matrix from the ~~exp~~ exponential.

We can now check how γ^μ transforms under Lorentz. Calculate the commutator with $S^{\rho\sigma}$:

$$[\gamma^\mu, S^{\rho\sigma}] = \gamma^\mu S^{\rho\sigma} - S^{\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu$$

$$= \frac{i}{4} (\gamma^\mu [\gamma^\rho, \gamma^\sigma] - [\gamma^\rho, \gamma^\sigma] \gamma^\mu)$$

$$\text{Use } \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \frac{i}{4} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\mu \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\rho - \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\mu + \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)$$

~~$$= \frac{i}{4} (2g^{\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu - 2\gamma^\mu \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\rho - 2g^{\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu + 2\gamma^\sigma \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)$$~~

$$= \frac{i}{4} (2g^{\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu - 2\gamma^\mu \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\rho - 2g^{\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu + 2\gamma^\sigma \gamma^\rho \gamma^\mu)$$

$$= \frac{i}{4} (2) (-2g^{\mu\sigma} \gamma^\rho + \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho + 2g^{\mu\rho} \gamma^\sigma - \gamma^\sigma \gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho)$$

$$= i(-g^{\mu\sigma} \gamma^\rho + g^{\mu\rho} \gamma^\sigma)$$

$$= i(g^{\mu\rho} \delta^\sigma_\nu \gamma^\nu - g^{\mu\sigma} \delta^\rho_\nu \gamma^\nu)$$

$$= i(J^{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu$$

So, γ^μ transforms exactly as a regular 4-vector!

(4)

Given that γ^μ transforms as a 4-vector, we can now consider what field equation to apply to the 4-component Dirac spinor $\Psi(x)$.

Candidate 1: Klein-Gordon eqn. $(\partial^2 + m^2)\Psi = 0$.

This is true but not enough. We can actually use the fact that spinors transform as $\frac{1}{2}$ reps. of Lorentz:

$$\Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{1/2} = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu,$$

$$\Lambda_{1/2} = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}\right),$$

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Lambda_{1/2} \Psi.$$

Candidate 2: Dirac equation.

$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi(x) = 0.$$

This is the basic field equation for 4-component spinors. It corresponds to wave equations for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles that obey anti-commutation relations.

First, check Lorentz invariance:

$$\begin{aligned} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi(x) &\xrightarrow{\text{spacetime trans.}} (i \gamma^\mu (\Lambda^{-1})^\nu{}_\mu \partial_\nu - m) \Lambda_{1/2} \Psi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \\ &= \Lambda_{1/2} \Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} (i \gamma^\mu (\Lambda^{-1})^\nu{}_\mu \partial_\nu - m) \Lambda_{1/2} \Psi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \\ &= \Lambda_{1/2} (i \Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{1/2} (\Lambda^{-1})^\nu{}_\mu \partial_\nu - m) \Psi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \\ &= \Lambda_{1/2} (i \Lambda^\mu{}_\sigma \gamma^\sigma (\Lambda^{-1})^\nu{}_\mu \partial_\nu - m) \Psi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \\ &= \Lambda_{1/2} (i \gamma^\nu \delta^\nu{}_\sigma \partial_\sigma - m) \Psi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can also see that the Dirac equation is the "square root" of the Klein-Gordon equation:

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (-i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)(i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu - m)\psi \\
&= (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + m^2)\psi \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + m^2\right)\psi \\
&= (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + m^2)\psi \\
&= (\partial^2 + m^2)\psi
\end{aligned}$$

How do we get Lorentz-invariant contractions of 4-component Dirac spinors?

First option: $\psi^\dagger \psi \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \Lambda_{1/2}^\dagger \Lambda_{1/2} \psi$
 but $\Lambda_{1/2}$ is not Hermitian (since group is non compact) and $\Lambda_{1/2}^\dagger \Lambda_{1/2} \neq 1$.

Solution: $\bar{\psi} \psi$, with $\bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$.

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (S^{\mu\nu})^\dagger\right) \gamma^0$$

For rotation terms, $(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow (i, j) + \gamma^0$ commutes with $(S^{\mu\nu})^\dagger = S^{\mu\nu}$.

For boost terms, $(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow (0, j)$ or $(i, 0) + \gamma^0$ anticommutes with $S^{\mu\nu}$, and $(S^{\mu\nu})^\dagger = -S^{\mu\nu}$, so passing γ^0 to the left removes the ^{extra} negative sign.

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (S^{\mu\nu})^\dagger\right) \gamma^0$$

$$= \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \left(1 + \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}\right)$$

$$= \bar{\psi} \Lambda_{1/2}, \text{ which is the desired Lorentz transformation rule.}$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} \psi$ is Lorentz invariant.

(6)

The Dirac Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \bar{\Psi} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi,$$

or, using Feynman-slash notation, $\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \equiv \not{\partial}$,
and in general, $\gamma^\mu x_\mu = \not{x}$.
arb. 4-vector x_μ

The Euler-Lagrange equations for Ψ + $\bar{\Psi}$ are then

$$(i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi = 0$$

$$-i \partial_\mu \bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu - m \bar{\Psi} = 0$$

Connecting back to Weyl spinors + spinor space:

We identify the upper + lower 2-components of the

Dirac spinor as Weyl spinors: $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_L \\ \Psi_R \end{pmatrix}$.

These transform under rotations and boosts as before
(Ψ_L + Ψ_R have ~~different~~ opposite boost angles.)

Note that $\sigma^2 \Psi_L^*$ transforms as a right-handed spinor.
(Complex conjugate reverses rotation angles, and
 $\sigma^2 (\vec{\sigma})^* = -(\vec{\sigma}) \sigma^2$ ~~does~~ the Hermitian reversal.)

It is instructive to study the Dirac equation on
Weyl spinors:

$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi$$

$$= \begin{cases} i (\partial_0 + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \Psi_R - m \Psi_L = 0 \\ i (\partial_0 - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \Psi_L - m \Psi_R = 0 \end{cases}$$

For $m=0$, these solutions separate + we can treat Ψ_L + Ψ_R
independently. So L + R denote chirality, the spin property
that is intrinsic to the spinor for $m=0$.

(7)

If we define $\sigma^\mu = (1, \vec{\sigma})$ + $\bar{\sigma}^\mu = (1, -\vec{\sigma})$
then we have

$$\begin{cases} (i\sigma \cdot \partial) \psi_R - m\psi_L = 0 \\ (i\bar{\sigma} \cdot \partial) \psi_L - m\psi_R = 0 \end{cases}$$

+ the Weyl equations ^{obtained by} (setting $m=0$) are

$$\begin{cases} i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_L = 0 \\ i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \partial \psi_R = 0 \end{cases}$$

Next time: Free particle solutions + quantization.