

Quantum Field Theory 1.

①

Lecture 3.

Felix Yu

April 19, 2021.

Last time: Poincaré symmetry + the irreps of Lorentz symmetry and spin.

Today: Scalar fields

Having established that Poincaré invariance on the S-matrix gives us the simplifying characterization of spin to implement Lorentz invariance/covariance for external states, we study fields with specific spin assignments. Given the $O(1,3) = SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ symmetry group of Lorentz symmetry, we have half-integer^{total} spin assignments for fields:

$\phi(x)$ = scalar, spin = 0

$\psi_\alpha(x)$ = fermion, spin = $1/2$

$A_\mu(x)$ = vector, spin = 1

$[g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \text{graviton, spin} = 2]$

(Technical complication: The finite dimensional representations from the Lorentz group generated by $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ are not unitary; need $\Lambda^\dagger \Lambda = 1$ s.t. $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \Lambda^\dagger \Lambda | \psi \rangle$, but since $\Lambda = e^{i\lambda}$, need Hermitian generators. While rotations are Hermitian generators, the boosts, as implemented by $\vec{J}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{J}_\pm + i\vec{K}_\pm)$, are anti-Hermitian & hence the transformations are anti-unitary.

To solve this, we make an infinite-dimensional representation by making the basis depend on p_μ [need to distinguish little-group

(2)

representations for massive particles $\sim p^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$ or massless particles $\sim p^\mu = (E, 0, 0, E)$, with massive as unitary reps. of $SO(3)$ and massive as unitary reps. of $ISO(2)$. See Schwartz, Sect. 10.2.1.)

(Technical note #2: For elementary particles in 4D spacetime, we can prove an inconsistency between a non-trivial S-matrix and long-range forces mediated by particles with spin > 2 . We can also establish the uniqueness of the graviton as the only massless spin-2 field + the fact that the only long-range force it mediates is gravity. So, we are already very limited in the choices of possible spins for elementary fields!

Refs: Benincasa, Cachazo, [0705.4305];

Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 134 (1964) 6882,

Phys. Rev. 135 (1964) 61049,

Phys. Rev. 138 (1965) 6988.

Proof (heuristic) based on amplitudes + consistent factorization of S-matrix: Dautmann, What Long-range forces are allowed?)

Start with scalar fields. Recall that fields are number- (or object-) valued functions defined for all spacetime points. Scalar fields are the simplest, since $\phi(x)$ just gives a pure number for a given $x = (t, x, y, z)$. Also familiar should be electric fields from classical field theory, where each point gives ^{or magnetic} a three-vector $\vec{E}(x)$ (or $\vec{B}(x)$).

As classical fields, it is straightforward to build arbitrary field configurations by superposition. Recall that $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\Phi$, for $-\nabla^2\Phi = \rho(x)$, so we could ~~be~~ always split

(3)

up the ~~the~~ source charge distribution, solve for the potential + $\vec{E}$ field from each piece separately, then superpose the individual $\vec{E}(x) + \vec{E}(x)$ solutions to get the total.

In quantum theory, this is no longer true generally, if the solutions belong to different eigenbases. While distinct position-space solutions to Schrödinger's eqn ~~are~~ obey superposition, we cannot solve for separate position + momentum space solutions and superpose them. The key, as usual, is the commutation of operators allows superposition while non-commutation does not:

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar, \quad [\hat{x}, \hat{x}] = [\hat{p}, \hat{p}] = 0.$$

By analogy, in quantum theories, we can never know precisely a given state and its conjugate momentum simultaneously.

Recall from classical Hamiltonian theory that the principle of least action governs the trajectory of a given degree of freedom, with $S = \int L dt = \int \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi) d^4x$. $\left[\partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \vec{\nabla} \right) \right]$

Here, $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lagrangian density (dimensions of $(\text{length})^{-4} = (\text{energy})^4$), but we will always refer to it as the Lagrangian.

We find the extrema of S by performing a variation w.r.t. ϕ and $\partial_\mu \phi$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta S &= \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta (\partial_\mu \phi) \right\} \\ &= \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta \phi \right) - \left(\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \right) \delta \phi \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The second term is the integral of a total derivative, so we only need to evaluate it at the bdr of spacetime.

(4)

Assuming fields vanish at bdy, we get

$$0 = \int d^4x \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \right] \delta \phi,$$

and since $\delta \phi$ is arbitrary, the integrand vanishes:

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) = 0 \quad ; \quad \text{straightforward to extend } \phi \rightarrow \phi_i.$$

In Hamiltonian theory, (closer analogy to QM + more obvious/explicit implementation quantization), we construct

$$S = \int dt L(q_i, \dot{q}_i) \Rightarrow H(q_i, p_i) = \sum p_i \dot{q}_i - L$$

with $p_i \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$

We will match coordinates in classical mechanics

with generalized coordinates (for scalar field theory)

that are labeled by given $(t, \vec{x}) \Rightarrow \phi(t, \vec{x}_n) \equiv \phi_n(t)$
over a discretized lattice spacing.

Then conjugate momentum to $\phi(\vec{x})$ is

$$\pi(\vec{x}) \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}(\vec{x})} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}(\vec{x})} \int d^3y \mathcal{L}(\phi(\vec{y}), \dot{\phi}(\vec{y}))$$

discretization $\rightarrow$ replace integral by sum $\sim \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}(\vec{x})} \sum_{\vec{y}_n} \Delta V \mathcal{L}[\phi(\vec{y}_n), \vec{\nabla} \phi(\vec{y}_n), \dot{\phi}(\vec{y}_n)] \xrightarrow{L \text{ to } \int \mathcal{L} d^3y} \Delta V$
finite volume element

$$= \pi(\vec{x}) \Delta V$$

for $\pi(\vec{x}) \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}(\vec{x})}$ as the momentum density conjugate to $\phi(\vec{x})$.

Restoring the continuum,

$$H = \sum_{\vec{x}_n} p(\vec{x}_n) \dot{\phi}(\vec{x}_n) - L$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{x}_n} \pi(\vec{x}_n) \Delta V \dot{\phi}(\vec{x}_n) - L$$

$$\approx \int d^3x [\pi(\vec{x}) \dot{\phi}(\vec{x}) - \mathcal{L}] \equiv \int d^3x \mathcal{H}$$

Side note: with Lagrange formalism, easier to see Lorentz invariance

Hamiltonian density
 $\downarrow$

(5)

Thus far, this has entirely been classical field theory.
To obtain quantum field theory, we need to promote
coordinates to operators and impose commutation relations:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{position coords.} \\ \text{+ momentum} \\ \text{as operators:} \end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} [x_i, p_j] &= i\delta_{ij} \\ [x_i, x_j] &= [p_i, p_j] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

For $\phi_i(t, \vec{x})$ and $\pi_j(t, \vec{y})$ in Hamiltonian formalism,
we impose equal-time commutation relations:

$$\text{coordinate} \rightarrow [\phi_i(t, \vec{x}), \pi_j(t, \vec{y})] = i\delta_{ij} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

$$\text{+ momentum} \quad [\phi_i(t, \vec{x}), \phi_j(t, \vec{y})] = [\pi_i(t, \vec{x}), \pi_j(t, \vec{y})] = 0$$

Use Heisenberg picture, where operators ~~are~~ ϕ and π
are time-dependent, and Hamiltonian also carries time-dependence
and is treated as an operator. We have the Heisenberg EOM,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} O = [O, H]$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t \phi_j(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{i} [\phi_j(t, \vec{x}), H]$$

$$\text{Ex: check this:} \quad \Rightarrow \phi_j(t, \vec{x}) = e^{iHt} \phi_j(0, \vec{x}) e^{-iHt}$$

Note that imposing commutation relations at $t=t_0$ preserves
them for all times t :

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi_i(t, \vec{x}), \pi_j(t, \vec{y})] &= [e^{iH(t-t_0)} \phi_i(t_0, \vec{x}) e^{-iH(t-t_0)}, \\ &\quad e^{iH(t-t_0)} \pi_j(t_0, \vec{y}) e^{-iH(t-t_0)}] \\ &= e^{iH(t-t_0)} [\phi_i(t_0, \vec{x}), \pi_j(t_0, \vec{y})] e^{-iH(t-t_0)} \\ &= i\delta_{ij} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \end{aligned}$$

(6)

For arbitrary N , ~~or~~ equivalently, arbitrary potentials, there exact quantum system is not solvable.

Recall EOM: real scalar

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - V(\phi)$$

$$V(\phi) = V_0 + \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{3!} \phi^3 + \frac{\lambda_4}{4!} \phi^4$$

(linear ~~term~~ removed by shift)

Euler-Lagrange EOM:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} = -\frac{\delta V}{\delta \phi}, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} = \partial^\mu \phi$$

$$\underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi}_{\square} + \frac{\delta V}{\delta \phi} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \vec{\nabla}^2 \equiv \square = \text{d'Alembertian}$$

$$(\square + m^2) \phi = - \underbrace{\frac{\lambda_3}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda_4}{3!} \phi^3 + \dots}_{\text{non-linearities}}$$

non-linearities = self-interactions
= inhomogeneous wave eqn.

If we turn off all powers ϕ^3 & higher in $V(\phi)$, we get the familiar Klein-Gordon eqn.

$$(\square + m^2) \phi(x) = 0$$

We solve by the usual ~~Fourier~~ Fourier transformation,

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left(a_{\vec{p}} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + a_{\vec{p}}^\dagger e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right)$$

with associated

$$\pi(\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} (-i) \sqrt{\frac{E_{\vec{p}}}{2}} \left(a_{\vec{p}} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} - a_{\vec{p}}^\dagger e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right)$$

(7)

Justification: Fourier transform of free KG eqn.

lets us solve the quantum system via ladder operators from the simple harmonic oscillator associated with momentum $|\vec{p}|$ and mass m :

$$H = \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_p^2 \phi^2, \quad \omega_p = \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2}$$

Review: construct eigenstates as occupation numbers of eigenmodes,

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} (a + a^\dagger), \quad p = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} (a - a^\dagger).$$

$$\text{Then } [\phi, p] = i \Rightarrow [a, a^\dagger] = 1,$$

$$\text{so } H = \omega (a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}).$$

We build the spectrum by setting $a|0\rangle = 0$ with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}\omega$. We also have $[H, a^\dagger] = \omega a^\dagger$, $[H, a] = -\omega a$, so $|n\rangle = (a^\dagger)^n |0\rangle$ are eigenstates with eigenvalues $(n + \frac{1}{2})\omega$.

In ~~the~~ other words, $\phi(x)$ ^{for free scalar theory!} is a superposition of momentum wavepackets indexed by $\vec{p}$, and $\phi(\vec{x})$ operator creates a particle at position $\vec{x}$.
 $\rightarrow$ continuous sum over $\vec{p} \hat{=} \text{integral over momentum space}$

We can also find the equivalent commutation relation for ^{ladder} operators,

$$[a(\vec{p}), a^\dagger(\vec{p}')] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}'),$$

with others vanishing.

$a(\vec{p})$ = annihilation, $a^\dagger(\vec{p})$ = creation.

Define $|\vec{p}\rangle = \sqrt{2E_p} a^\dagger_{\vec{p}} |0\rangle$. (momentum wavepacket)

$$\text{Then } \phi(\vec{x}) |0\rangle = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_p} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} |\vec{p}\rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle 0 | \phi(\vec{x}) |\vec{p}\rangle = e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}}.$$