

(1)

Lecture 11.

Quantum Field Theory I.

Felix Yu

May 17, 2021.

Last time: Free field theory of fermions.

Today: Discrete symmetries of Lorentz symmetry.

We have 3 possible discrete symmetries, which are especially relevant or non-trivial now that we have discussed spin $1/2$ fields.

They are C = charge conjugation. P = parity transformation. T = time reversal.

Note that P & T are implemented as discrete transformations in the Lorentz group.

$$P: (t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow (t, -\vec{x}).$$

$$T: (t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow (-t, \vec{x}).$$

Importantly, these discrete transformations preserve the four-vector scalar product distance, $s^2 = x^\mu x_\mu = t^2 - |\vec{x}|^2$.

On the other hand, they are implemented as a Lorentz transformation with $\det = -1$ (acting on 4-vectors):

$$\Lambda_{Pr}^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_{Tv}^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, they are not smoothly deformable from the identity Lorentz transformation. (with $\det = 1$).

The ~~continuous~~ continuous generators w/o P & T are known as the proper, orthochronous Lorentz group.

(2)

The continuous Lorentz generators with $P =$ "improper, orthochronous"
 Continuous Lorentz with $T =$ "proper, non-orthochronous"
 Continuous Lorentz with $P \neq T =$ "improper, non-orthochronous".

In Nature, we have 4 fundamental forces.
 Of these, gravity, electromagnetism, and the strong interactions behave the same under particles or antiparticles & their parity-partners, as well as whether time is normal or reversed. (Here, we mean that the dynamical equations are invariant under $t \rightarrow -t$.)
 The weak interactions, however, explicitly violate C and P : interactions for a given particle do not exist for the charge conjugate or the parity partner, but $CP \neq T$ are preserved (by the EOMs). We have also experimentally verified CP and T violation in physical processes such as kaon oscillation, B -meson decays, & D -meson decays. Moreover, we have no experimental evidence for CPT violation, so we expect that CPT is a good symmetry of Nature.

 Now do $C, P \neq T$ act on Dirac fermions?

For P , we want

$$P a_{\vec{p}}^s P = \eta_a a_{-\vec{p}}^s, \quad P b_{\vec{p}}^s P = \eta_b b_{\vec{p}}^s$$

where η_a, η_b are phases, requiring $\eta_a^2 = \pm 1, \eta_b^2 = \pm 1$.

(can implement P as constant 4×4 matrix, and

can find from $P \Psi(x) P = \text{something} \cdot \Psi(t, -\vec{x})$

that we need $\eta_b^* = -\eta_a \neq P \Psi(x) P = \eta_a \gamma^0 \Psi(t, -\vec{x})$

(3)

Hermitian bilinears:

$$\bar{\Psi}\Psi, \quad \bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi, \quad i\bar{\Psi}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]\Psi, \quad \bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\Psi, \quad i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^5\Psi.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Can verify: } P\bar{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})P &= P\Psi^\dagger(t, \vec{x})P\gamma^0 \\ &= (P\Psi(t, \vec{x})P)^\dagger\gamma^0 \\ &= \eta_a^* \bar{\Psi}(t, -\vec{x})\gamma^0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } P\bar{\Psi}\Psi P = +\bar{\Psi}\Psi(t, \vec{x})$$

[Will generally not care about η_a or η_b because observables are always bilinears of fermions, so we can set $\eta_a = -\eta_b = 1$ from beginning.]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Can also check: } P i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^5\Psi P &= -i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^5\Psi(t, -\vec{x}) \\ P\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi P &= (-1)^\mu \bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi(t, -\vec{x}) \\ P\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\Psi P &= -(-1)^\mu \bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\Psi(t, -\vec{x}) \\ P\bar{\Psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Psi P &= (-1)^\mu(-1)^\nu \bar{\Psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Psi(t, -\vec{x}) \\ \text{for } (-1)^\mu &\equiv (1, -1, -1, -1) \end{aligned}$$

Time reversal: Must be implemented as antiunitary operator:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\text{c-number}) &= (\text{c-number})^* T \\ \Rightarrow T e^{+iHt} &= e^{iH(-t)} T, \text{ effectively changes} \end{aligned}$$

T should reverse momentum & flip ^{sign of} spin.

$$\vec{p} \xrightarrow{T} -\vec{p}$$

Details in Section 3.6 of P+S.

Argument: construct explicit transformation on $\xi^S \rightarrow \xi^{-S}$ and $u^S(p) \rightarrow u^{-S}(\tilde{p})$, get $T a_{\vec{p}}^S T = a_{-\vec{p}}^{-S}$, $T b_{\vec{p}}^S T = b_{-\vec{p}}^{-S}$ and $T\Psi(t, \vec{x})T = \gamma^1\gamma^3\Psi(-t, \vec{x})$, $T\bar{\Psi}T = \bar{\Psi}(-t, \vec{x})(-\gamma^1\gamma^3)$

(4)

Other bilinears:

$$T; \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi = -i; \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi (-t, \vec{x})$$

$$T \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi T = (-1)^\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi (-t, \vec{x})$$

$$T \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi T = (-1)^\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi (-t, \vec{x})$$

$$T \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi T = -(-1)^\mu (-1)^\nu \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi (-t, \vec{x})$$

Finally: charge conjugation

Keep spin & momentum (quantum numbers of spacetime), $\uparrow$ mass, change internal quantum numbers, like charge.

$$C a_{\vec{p}}^\dagger C = b_{\vec{p}}^\dagger; \quad C b_{\vec{p}}^\dagger C = a_{\vec{p}}^\dagger$$

$$\text{We get } u^s(p) = -i \gamma^2 (v^s(p))^*, \quad v^s(p) = -i \gamma^2 (u^s(p))^*$$

$$C \psi(x) C = -i \gamma^2 \psi^*(x) = -i (\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^2)^T$$

$$C \bar{\psi}(x) C = (-i \gamma^2 \psi)^T \gamma^0 = (-i \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \psi)^T$$

Then, on bilinears,

$$C \bar{\psi} \psi C = \bar{\psi} \psi$$

$$C \bar{\psi} i \gamma^5 \psi C = i \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi$$

$$C \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi C = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$$

$$C \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi C = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi$$

$$C \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi C = -\bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi$$

Under, P, T, C , we ~~too~~ also have

$$P \partial_\mu P = (-1)^\mu \partial_\mu \in T(\partial_\mu) T = -(-1)^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad C \partial_\mu C = \partial_\mu.$$

Since $\bar{\psi} \psi, i \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi$ under CPT is $+1$, any term in a Lagrangian must be invariant under CPT , if the Lagrangian is local & Lorentz-invariant.

Physics of C, P, T:

(5)

- Historically, since EM and gravity obeyed parity symmetry, there was an assumption that the weak interactions (responsible for nuclear decay processes) were also parity. (S. Wu experiment (1956) demonstrated that Co^{60} maximally violated parity in decays. Today, we understand it by attributing weak interactions only to LH particles and not RH particles. This has additional implications on fermion mass generation & the necessity of the Higgs mechanism for spontaneous symmetry breaking.
- Kaon decays: The existence of two different decay channels for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+$ & $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ also demonstrated parity violation. The final states, since pions are pseudoscalar fermion bilinears, are opposite parity, and so a single particle decaying to both states implies that parity is not a good selection rule in weak interactions.
- C-violation: Since C does not change spin, C is also violated by weak interactions maximally. LH ν acts, but LH $\bar{\nu}$ does not. (N.C. is RH $\bar{\nu}$).
- CP is also violated in SM: baryogenesis puzzle given
 - particles & antiparticles can decay differently to final states.
- CPT is preserved, as far as we know.
 - particles & antiparticles have same masses.
- Majorana fermions: $C \Psi C = \Psi$. (Like real scalars.)