

Lecture 15.
Extra.

①

22.2.19.

Violation of Cluster Decomposition.

We cannot "turn off" instantons and only keep a classical, perturbative vacuum $|n\rangle$ as the quantum vacuum instead of the true Θ vacuum, $| \Theta \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in\theta} |n\rangle$.

cf.
Shifman, 18.2,
33.6.

One reason is the full invariance under gauge transformations includes "large" gauge transformations that change $|n\rangle$.

A separate reason is that such a choice would violate cluster decomposition:

For two operators $O_1(x_1) \neq O_2(x_2)$, the rev of the T product: $\langle T(O_1, O_2) \rangle \rightarrow \langle O_1 \rangle \langle O_2 \rangle$ as $|x_1 - x_2| \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider $A(t) = \langle n | T \{ O^+(t), O(0) \} | n \rangle$

$$O(t) = \int \bar{\Psi}(t, x) (1 + \gamma^5) \Psi(t, x) dx$$

O changes axial charge of the state by 2 units +

O^+ changes it back, so $A(t) \neq 0$. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

then $A(t) \rightarrow \text{const}$, so operators $\bar{\Psi}(1 \pm \gamma^5) \Psi$

acquire a nonzero rev. But for $|n\rangle$ vacuum,

then $\langle \bar{\Psi}(1 \pm \gamma^5) \Psi \rangle = 0$, since the fermion + corresponding hole in Dirac sea is orthogonal to $|n\rangle$, which has unchanged fermion numbers.

(2)

Instantons + fermions and Θ -vacua + the Strong CP problem

Recalculate, in $A_0=0$ gauge, transition from $|n\rangle$ to $|n+k\rangle$ with fermions.

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \langle n+k | e^{-H\tau} | n \rangle$$

$$= \int (D A_\mu)_k \int D\psi^\dagger D\psi e^{-S_E}$$

$$S_E = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{4g^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu} + i \bar{\psi} (\not{D} - \overset{0}{\not{A}}) \psi \right)$$

In path integral, $\psi + \bar{\psi}$ are totally independent:

cf. Coleman,
Uses of
Instantons

$$\psi(x) = \psi_0(x) + c_n \psi_n(x)$$

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\psi}_0(x) + \bar{c}_n \bar{\psi}_n(x)$$

$\psi_0, \bar{\psi}_0$ classical solutions to Euclidean EOM.

$\psi_n, \bar{\psi}_n$ are complete sets of orthonormal, commuting

functions. $c_n, \bar{c}_n$ are anti-commuting coeff.

$$c^2 = \bar{c}^2 = 0 \quad f(c, \bar{c}) = a_0 + a_1 c + \bar{a}_1 \bar{c} + a_2 c \bar{c}$$

Rules: $\int dc f(c+\epsilon) = \int dc f(c)$ translation invariance.

$$\int dc 1 = \int d\bar{c} = 0 \quad \int dc c = 1 \quad \int d\bar{c} \bar{c} = 1$$

$$\int dc \int d\bar{c} \bar{c} c = 1$$

$$1 - c\bar{c} = \exp(1 - \frac{1}{2} c\bar{c}) \quad \int dc d\bar{c} \exp(1 - c\bar{c}) = 1.$$

Define $\int D\psi^\dagger D\psi = \int \prod_j (i d\bar{c}_j d c_j)$

$$\int d^4x \psi_a^\dagger \psi_b = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}_a \bar{\psi}_b^\dagger = \delta_{ab}, \text{ orthonormal.}$$

Calculate $\int D\psi^\dagger D\psi \exp(i \int d^4x \psi^\dagger A \psi)$

$$A \psi_i = A_{ij} \psi_j.$$

③

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exp \left(i \int d^4x \Psi^\dagger A \Psi \right) &= \exp \left(i \bar{c}_j A_{jk} c_k \right) \\
 &\Rightarrow \int \mathcal{D}\Psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\Psi \exp \left(i \int d^4x \Psi^\dagger A \Psi \right) \\
 &= \int \prod_j (i d\bar{c}_j d c_j) \exp \left(i \bar{c}_j A_{jk} c_k \right) \\
 &= \text{Det } A.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \langle n+k | e^{-H\tau} | n \rangle \\
 = \int [\mathcal{D}A_\mu]_k \int \mathcal{D}\Psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\Psi e^{-S_E} = \text{const.} \int [\mathcal{D}A_\mu] e^{-S_A} \text{Det}[M(A_\mu)]
 \end{aligned}$$

where $M(A_\mu) \equiv i(\partial_\mu + A_\mu) \delta_4 \gamma_\mu$ in Euclidean.

Dirac operator in presence of external field A_μ .

Key point: for A_μ with non-trivial winding, then we saw the L-moving + R-moving fermions #s were not conserved. Then, under the operation of $(A_\mu)_{k=1}$, one LH eigenvalue must pass through 0 + one RH eigenvalue must pass through 0. So, functional integral is 0.

Spectral flow: fermion energy levels as gauge field flows along tunneling path.

Index theorem: Atiyah-Singer index theorem:

$$I(D) = k_+ - k_- = \int 2 T(r) k = k.$$

Vacuum tunneling suppressed by massless fermions.

As a result, energy level splitting does not occur.

Cluster decomposition $\Rightarrow$ still have 0 vacua.

All 0 vacua have same E_0 .

Different 0 vacua obtained by rotation of ~~the~~ massless fields into each other.

Anomaly: $\partial_\mu j^{\mu 5} = N_f \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} \hat{F}^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \text{anomaly}^{(4)}$

$\partial_\mu j_A^\mu = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} \hat{F}^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \text{winding}$

$j_5^\mu = j_5^\mu - 2N_f j_A^\mu$ divergenceless

But j_A^μ = C-S current is gauge dependent.

Clear that chiral rotation absorbs winding #.

Calculate effective instanton interaction.

Instanton ~~annihilates~~ annihilates RH fermion of each flavor. & creates one LH fermion of each flavor.

Anti-instanton does opposite.

$\epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_N} \psi_{i_1 R}^+ \psi_{i_2 R}^+ \dots \psi_{i_N R}^+ \psi_{j_1 L} \psi_{j_2 L} \dots \psi_{j_N L} \epsilon^{m_1 \dots m_P}$

 $\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 flavor spin

+ Hooft effective interaction.

$\det(\psi_{iR}^+ \psi_{jL}) \rightarrow$ manifestly violates $U(1)$ chiral symmetry.

Resolves η' problem.

--- Strong CP:

$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (G_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (W_{\mu\nu}^i)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^2$

$- (\lambda_d^{ij} Q_a^{+i} \phi_a d_R^j + \lambda_u^{ij} Q_a^{+i} \epsilon^{ab} \phi_b^c u_R^j) + \text{h.c.}$

$\lambda = \tilde{U}^+ \text{Diag } \tilde{V} = U^+ \text{Diag } V e^{i\phi}$

 $\uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow$
 $U(n) \quad \quad \quad SU(n)$

$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v+h) (\lambda_d^{ij} d_L^+ d_R^j + \lambda_u^{ij} u_L^+ u_R^j) + \text{h.c.}$

$d_R^m = e^{i\phi} V_d d_R \quad u_R^m = e^{i\phi} V_u u_R$

$d_L^m = U_d d_L \quad u_L^m = U_u u_L$

(5)

$$\mathcal{L} = -[d_L^{i\dagger} m_i^d d_R^i + u_L^{i\dagger} m_i^u u_R^i + \text{h.c.}] \left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right)$$

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{\phi N_f}{32\pi^2} (F \tilde{F})$$

$$\text{For } \mathcal{L} = \frac{Q}{32\pi^2} F \tilde{F}, \text{ get } \bar{\Theta} = \Theta + \phi \cdot N_f \\ = \Theta + \arg \det M$$

$$\bar{\Theta} < 3 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ from neutron EDM.}$$

Puzzle: Phase in CKM matrix is $\delta \sim 10^{-1}$ to 0.5.

Can easily arise in λ_u, λ_d with CPV phases of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

But the combination in $\bar{\Theta}$ is restricted to be $\mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$.

Solutions:

- ① $m_u = 0$.
- ② Nelson-Barr. Mass matrix of quarks has CP symmetry, so $\arg$ vanishes, but quark submatrix has ~~vanish~~ nonzero CPV for weak interactions.
- ③ Peccei-Quinn sym. + axion.
Axion couples to topological susceptibility of QCD
+ feels potential from Θ -vacuum energy + dynamically minimizes energy to 0.